1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ABBEY, WEITZENBERG, WARREN & EMER RICHARD W. ABBEY, ESQ. (SBN: 053039) RACHEL K. STEVENSON, ESQ. (SBN: 172525100 Stony Point Road, Ste. 200 Post Office Box 1566 Santa Rosa, California 95402-1566 Telephone No.: (707) 542-5050 Facsimile No.: (707) 542-2589 rstevenson@abbeylaw.com Attorneys for Creditor Sterling Savings Bank, successor in interest by me Sonoma National Bank	
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	In Re:	Case No. 09-51900 Chapter 11
12	BENYAM MULUGETA and PAULA R. MULUGETA,	R.S. No. RKN/004
13		Date: November 22, 2010
14	Debtors.	Time: 2:00 p.m. Location: 280 South First St., San Jose, CA Courtroom: 3020
15	-	Court toom. 3020
16	STERLING SAVINGS BANK'S REPLY TO	DEBTORS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
17		ROM STAY
	COMES NOW Stanling Sovings Donle as	
18	COMES NOW, Sterling Savings Bank, as	
	N 1D 1 ("G, 1" ") 1 1" 4 4 D 1	successor in interest by merger to Sonoma
19	National Bank ("Sterling") and, replies to the Deb	•
19 20	National Bank ("Sterling") and, replies to the Debas follows:	•
	as follows:	•
20	as follows:	otors' Opposition to Motion for Relief from Stay, this Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have
20 21	as follows: The only two issues before this Court on the court of the	otors' Opposition to Motion for Relief from Stay, this Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have a property is necessary for an effective
202122	as follows: The only two issues before this Court on the equity in the subject property, and (2) whether the reorganization. Sterling has the burden of proof of	otors' Opposition to Motion for Relief from Stay, this Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have a property is necessary for an effective
20212223	as follows: The only two issues before this Court on the equity in the subject property, and (2) whether the reorganization. Sterling has the burden of proof of	his Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have property is necessary for an effective on the issue of equity. The Debtors have the prane are fective reorganization. Sterling has met its
2021222324	as follows: The only two issues before this Court on the equity in the subject property, and (2) whether the reorganization. Sterling has the burden of proof of burden of proving that the property is necessary for	this Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have a property is necessary for an effective on the issue of equity. The Debtors have the or an effective reorganization. Sterling has met its all therefore be granted.
202122232425	as follows: The only two issues before this Court on the equity in the subject property, and (2) whether the reorganization. Sterling has the burden of proof of burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property in the subject property of the burden of proof of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden.	this Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have a property is necessary for an effective on the issue of equity. The Debtors have the or an effective reorganization. Sterling has met its all therefore be granted.
20212223242526	as follows: The only two issues before this Court on the equity in the subject property, and (2) whether the reorganization. Sterling has the burden of proof of burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden. The Debtors have not. This Motion should be a subject property in the subject property of the burden of proof of the burden of proving that the property is necessary for burden.	otors' Opposition to Motion for Relief from Stay, this Motion are (1) whether the Debtors have reproperty is necessary for an effective on the issue of equity. The Debtors have the or an effective reorganization. Sterling has met its all therefore be granted. E PROPERTY. fference between the property value and the total

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 Entered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 1 of

H
 ar
 in
 th
 ar
 ec

Here, Sterling has established that the Grand Property's value is \$2,700,000. A copy of Sterling's appraisal is attached to the Declaration of appraiser, Stephen Kuhnhoff which was filed with the initial reply papers for this Motion on March 30, 2010. Declarations of the appraisers involved in the preparation of the appraisal demonstrate the validity and accuracy of the appraisal. The total amount of liens against the Property is at least \$3,121,914. Accordingly, the Debtors have no equity in the Property.

The Debtors' arguments to the contrary seemingly misunderstand the applicable law regarding how a debtor's equity is determined. Whether or not Sterling is adequately protected is not the issue. The issue is that the total amount of the liens against the Property far exceed the appraised value of the Property. (*Stewart, supra* 745 F.2d at pp. 1195-96 (9th Cir. 1984).) The Debtors also wholly disregard the declining state of the real estate market. Given the recent decline in the real estate market, and particularly in the commercial real estate sector, it is not difficult to understand that what an appraiser in December of 2008, may have thought property could be worth in the future, is drastically different from what the property is actually worth a year later. Moreover, even if the "As If Completed and Stabilized" value of \$3,380,000¹ were realistic in today's market, the Debtors would still have no equity. The total amount of liens (\$3,121,914) plus the 8% in commissions and sales costs (\$270,400) equals \$3,392,314. The Debtors simply have no equity, and Section 362(d)(2)(A) is therefore satisfied.

B. THE DEBTORS ARE NO CLOSER TO REORGANIZING NOW THAN THEY WERE A YEAR AGO.

The Debtors have not demonstrated, and cannot demonstrate, that the Grand Property is necessary for an effective reorganization. The "effective reorganization" component in a motion for relief from stay requires a showing by the debtor that a proposed plan is not patently unconfirmable and has a realistic chance of being confirmed. (*In re Sun Valley Newspapers, Inc.* 171 B.R. 71, 75 (9th Cir. BAP 1994).) The burden of proof on a debtor in this regard is to "offer sufficient evidence to indicate that a successful reorganization within a reasonable time is 'plausible.'" (*Ibid.*)) It is not enough for the debtor to simply argue that the automatic stay should continue because it needs

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 <u>Ent</u>ered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 2 of

See Debtors' Opposition at p. 2, line 18.

the property in order to propose a reorganization. (*La Jolla Mortgage Fun v. Rancho El Cajon Assoc.*, 18 B.R. 283, 291 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1982); see also, United Savings Assoc. of Texas v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assoc., Ltd. 484 U.S. 365, 375-76.) "If all the debtor can offer at this time is high hopes without any financial prospects on the horizon to warrant a conclusion that a reorganization in the near future is likely, it cannot be said that the property is necessary to an 'effective' reorganization." (*Ibid.*) The lack of any realistic prospect for reorganization <u>requires</u> relief under 11 U.S.C. 363(d)(2). (*United Savings Assoc. of Texas v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assoc., Ltd.* 484 U.S. 365, 376.)

Here, the Debtors have been in bankruptcy now for over eighteen months. In spite of numerous chances, delays and promises, they have not sold a single piece of real property or come close to proposing a plan of reorganization. This motion was originally filed on March 3, 2010. After numerous delays and continuances, the Court ordered the Debtors to list this Property for sale in May of 2010. They still have not sold it. As has been their pattern, they have presented a purported sales contract on the eve of this hearing in an effort to buy more time. However, just as with the O'Keefe and Harrison properties, absolutely no evidence exists to support a finding that this sale is viable. Indeed, the purchase price stated in the purported offer, attached by the Debtors as Exhibit 2, is \$2,475,000, \$646,914 less than the liens against the Property. Thus, absent consent to a short sale by junior lenders, this sale will not happen.

In short, the Debtors have not proposed a plan and they have not demonstrated that they are any closer to doing so today than they were a year ago. Rather, they have simply stalled and delayed within the confines of the bankruptcy protections, without making any effort to file, much less confirm, a plan. All the Debtors have done is argue that the automatic stay should continue because they need the Property, and offer "high hopes" without any evidence of financial prospects on the horizon which warrant a conclusion that reorganization in the future is likely. (*See La Jolla Mortgage Fun v. Rancho El Cajon Assoc.*, 18 B.R. 283, 291 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1982); *see also, United Savings Assoc. of Texas v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assoc., Ltd.* 484 U.S. 365, 375-76.) The inevitable conclusion to be drawn from the totality of the facts of this case is that no reasonable prospect exists for reorganization of these Debtors in the near future. As such, it cannot be said that

se: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 <u>Eឆ្te</u>red: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 3 of

the Property, 353 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94601, is necessary to an effective reorganization. 1 (La Jolla Mortgage Fun v. Rancho El Cajon Assoc., 18 B.R. 283, 291 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1982); see 2 also, United Savings Assoc. of Texas v. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assoc., Ltd. 484 U.S. 365, 375-3 76.) 4 III. CONCLUSION 5 This motion has been pending for more than eight months, with absolutely no progress. The 6 Debtors have been given chance after chance to demonstrate that financial prospects exist to 7 warrant a conclusion that reorganization in the near future is likely. They have not done so. 8 Therefore, they have not demonstrated that this Property is necessary for an effective 9 reorganization. Sterling therefore urges this Court to issue an order granting relief from the 10 automatic stay to enable it to assert its rights under its deed of trust secured by the Debtors' property 11 located at 353 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA; A.P.N. 010-0767-001, as well as pursue all state 12 court remedies necessary to secure possession of the Property, including the serving of notices and 13 unlawful detainer proceedings. Sterling further requests a waiver of the 14-day stay imposed by 14 Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3). Alternatively, at the very least, Sterling is entitled to relief to re-15 publish its Notice of Sale, so that when the inevitable does happen, and Sterling is allowed to 16 foreclose, it need not wait an additional 30 days before doing so. 17 18 Dated: November 19, 2010 ABBEY, WEITZENBERG, 19 **WARREN & EMERY** 20 21 /s/ Rachel K. Stevenson By: Rachel K. Stevenson, Esq. 22 Attorneys for Sterling Savings Bank 23 24 25 26 27

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/<u>10 Eրt</u>ered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 4 of

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2 3	I am a citizen of the United States and employed in the County of Sonoma, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled cause. My business address is 100 Stony Point Road, Suite 200, Santa Rosa, California 95401.
4	On November 19, 2010, I served the following document(s):
5	STERLING SAVINGS BANK'S REPLY TO DEBTORS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY
67	on the interested parties in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:
8	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
9	XX (BY MAIL) I placed each such sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid for first-
10	class mail, for collection and mailing at Santa Rosa, California, following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of the law office of Abbey,
11	Weitzenberg, Warren & Emery for processing of mail, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, mail is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for processing.
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
13	is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on November 19, 2010 at Santa Rosa,
14 15	
16	
17	/s/ Lori Koloacek Lori Kolacek
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

Doc# 351

SERVICE LIST 1 **BENYAM AND PAULA MULUGETA** CASE NO. 09-51900 2 3 **DEBTOR:** Benyam Mulugeta 4 1025 Harker Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94301 5 6 **JOINT DEBTOR:** Paula R. Mulugeta 7 1025 Harker Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94301 8 9 **U.S. TRUSTEE:** Office of the U.S. Trustee / SJ U.S. Federal Bldg. 280 S. 1st St., #268 11 San Jose, CA 95113-3004 12 **CREDITORS:** 13 **Aglaia Panos** 14 13240 Clairepointe Way Oakland, CA 94619 15 California Mortgage 16 62 First St., 4th Fl San Francisco, CA 94105 17 18 Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. C/O Tsys Debt Management (Tdm) 19 PO BOX 5155 NORCROSS, GA 30091 20 21 Chase PO Box 94014 22 Palatine, IL 60094 23 **Chrysler Financial** 24 PO Box 9001921 Louisville, KY 40290 25 **Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC** 26 Randall P. Mroczynksi 27 535 Anton Boulevard, 10th Floor

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 Entered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 6 of

Costa Mesa, AZ 92626

1	
2	Citi PO Box 6415
3	The Lakes, NV 88901
4	Countrywide Home Loans
5	PO Box 5170 Simi Valley, CA 93062
6	Cupertino Dental
7	10383 Torre Ave. #I
8	Cupertino, CA 95014
9	EMC PO Box 660753
10	Dallas, TX 75266
11	Expo
12	PO Box 6028 The Lakes, NV 88901
13	Franchise Tax Board
14	Bankruptcy Section MS A340 PO Box 2952
15	Sacramento, CA 95812-2952
16	Internal Revenue Service
17	P O Box 21126 Philadelphia, P A 19114
18	
19	Loan Oak Fund 11611 San Vicente Blvd. #640
20	Los Angeles, CA 90049
21	Robert Taylor
22	541B Cowper Palo Alto, CA 94301
23	Sequoia Mortgage
24	1114 Irwin St.
25	San Rafael, CA 94901
26	Sonoma Bank PO Box 6089
27	Santa Rosa, CA 95406
28	

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 Entered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 7 of

1	Tomoko Nakama
2	1569 Jackson St. Oakland, CA 94612
	Oakland, CA 94012
3	Wachovia Dealer Services, Inc
4	PO Box 25341 Santa Ana, CA 92799
5	Salita Alia, CA 92/99
6	Washington Mutual
	PO Box 660487 Dallas, TX 75266
7	Danas, 1A /3200
8	Washington Mutual
9	PO Box 74148
	Phoenix, AZ 85062
10	Washington Mutual Bank
11	7301 Baymeadows Way
12	Jacksonville, FL 32256
13	Wells Fargo
	PO Box 30086
14	Los Angeles, CA 90030
15	WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.
16	BDD Bankruptcy Dept MAC S4101-08C
17	100 W Washington St Phoenix, AZ 85003
	1 110011111, 1 12 00 000
18	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
19	c/o Wells Fargo Card Services Recovery Department
20	P.O. Box 9210
	Des Moines, IA 50306
21	Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Trustee
22	EMC Payment Processing
23	PO Box 660753
24	Dallas, TX, 75266-0753
	SPECIAL NOTICE:
25	
26	First Street Commercial Mortgage Fund, LLC c/o Eric A. Nyberg
27	Kornfield, Nyberg, Bendes & Kuhner
28	1999 Harrison St., Ste. 2675
∠0	Oakland, CA 94612

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 Entered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 8 of

1	
2	EMC Mortgage Corporation ATTN: Bankruptcy Dept.
3	P.O. Box 293150
4	Lewisville, TX 75029-3150 Loan No: **** 5551
5	American Express Centurian Bank c/o Becket and Lee LLP
6	P.O. Box 3001
7	Malvern, PA 19355-0701
8	American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc.
9	c/o Becket and Lee LLP
	P.O. Box 3001 Malvern, PA 19355-0701
10	Waiten, 171 17555 0701
11	Loan Oak Fund, LLC
12	c/o Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP Attn: Simon Aron, Esq.
13	11400 West Olympic Blvd., Ninth Floor
14	Los Angeles, CA 90064-1565
	Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, Inc.
15	c/o Richard J. Bauer, Jr., Esq. Miles, Bauer, Bergstrom & Winters, LLP
16	1665 Scenic Ave., Ste. 200
17	Costa Mesa, CA 92626
18	Sequoia Mortgage Capital
19	c/o Patric J. Kelly, Esq.
20	Adleson, Hess & Kelly, APC 577 Salmar Ave., Second Floor
	Campbell, CA 95008-1453
21	Sequoia Mortgage Capital
22	Jason H. Freskos
23	1114 Irwin Street
24	San Rafael, CA 94901
	Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC
25	fka DaimlerChrysler Financial Services Americas LLC c/o Randall P. Mroczynski, Esq.
26	Cooksey, Toolen, Gage, Duffy & Woog
27	535 Anton Blvd., Tenth Floor
20	Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Case: 09-51900 Doc# 351 Filed: 11/19/10 Egtered: 11/19/10 11:34:31 Page 9 of